

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-12, 15-24, 26-35, and 38-51 were pending and presented for examination. In an Office Action dated May 30, 2008, claims 1, 3-12, 15-18, 20-24, 26-35, 38-41, and 43-51 were allowed and claims 19 and 42 were rejected. Applicant is amending claims 19 and 42 in this Amendment and Response. These changes are believed not to introduce new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested. In view of the Amendments herein and the Remarks that follow, Applicant respectfully requests that Examiner reconsider all outstanding rejections, and withdraw them.

Response to Rejections Under 35 USC 112

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 19 and 42 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for allegedly having limitations with a lack of antecedent basis. Claims 19 and 42 have been amended to remove reference to limitations not appearing in claims 1 and 24, respectively. As a result, claims 19 and 42 are definite under 35 USC § 112. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider these rejections and withdraw them.

Applicant invites Examiner to contact Applicant's representative at the number provided below if Examiner believes it will help expedite furtherance of this application.

Respectfully Submitted,
MIHAI FLORIN IONESCU

Date: August 29, 2008

By: /Nikhil Iyengar/

Nikhil Iyengar
Registration No. 60,910
FENWICK & WEST LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Phone: (415) 875-2367
Fax: (650) 938-5200